

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jctb

Journal of Combinatorial Theory

Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem for hereditary families



Zach Hunter $^{{\rm a},1},$ Aleksa Milojević $^{{\rm a},1},$ Benny Sudakov $^{{\rm a},1},$ István Tomon $^{{\rm b},2}$

^a ETH Zürich, Switzerland

^b Umeå University, Sweden

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 7 February 2024 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Induced subgraphs Turán-type problems Zarankiewicz problem Dependent random choice

ABSTRACT

The celebrated Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem states that any nvertex graph containing no copy of the complete bipartite graph $K_{s,s}$ has at most $O_s(n^{2-1/s})$ edges. In the past two decades, motivated by the applications in discrete geometry and structural graph theory, a number of results demonstrated that this bound can be greatly improved if the graph satisfies certain structural restrictions. We propose the systematic study of this phenomenon, and state the conjecture that if H is a bipartite graph, then an induced H-free and $K_{s,s}$ -free graph cannot have much more edges than an H-free graph. We provide evidence for this conjecture by considering trees, cycles, the cube graph, and bipartite graphs with degrees bounded by k on one side, obtaining in all the cases similar bounds as in the non-induced setting. Our results also have applications to the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture, the problem of finding induced C_4 -free subgraphs with large degree and bounding the average degree of $K_{s,s}$ -free graphs which do not contain induced subdivisions of a fixed graph.

© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2024.12.009

E-mail addresses: zach.hunter@math.ethz.ch (Z. Hunter), aleksa.milojevic@math.ethz.ch

⁽A. Milojević), benjamin.sudakov@math.ethz.ch (B. Sudakov), istvan.tomon@umu.se (I. Tomon).

¹ Research supported in part by SNSF grant 200021-228014.

 $^{^2\,}$ Research supported in part by the Swedish Research Council grant VR 2023-03375.

 $^{0095-8956/\}odot 2025$ The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

169

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to combine two classical areas of graph theory: Turán problems and the study of graphs with forbidden induced subgraphs. The *extremal number* or *Turán number* of a graph H is the maximum number of edges in an *n*-vertex graph containing no copy of H as a subgraph, and it is denoted by ex(n, H). The study of extremal numbers goes back more than a 100 years to Mantel [42], who determined the extremal number of the triangle. This was extended by Turán [58], who found the extremal number of every clique. By the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem [16,18], we know the extremal number of every non-bipartite graph H up to lower order terms.

The extremal numbers of bipartite graphs are much more mysterious, with a plethora of results addressing specific instances, and with just as many open problems. One of the most notorious problems is to determine the extremal number of $K_{s,t}$, i.e. the complete bipartite graph with vertex classes of size s and t. The celebrated Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem [36] states that if $s \leq t$, then $ex(n, K_{s,t}) = O_t(n^{2-1/s})$. This is only known to be tight if $s \in \{2, 3\}$, or t is sufficiently large with respect to s [4,10]. On the other hand, the random deletion method shows that $ex(n, K_{s,s}) = \Omega_s(n^{2-2/(s+1)})$.

Another classical topic of graph theory is the study of graphs avoiding a fixed graph H as an induced subgraph. One such problem closely related to the topic of this paper is the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture [27,54]. A family of graphs \mathcal{G} is χ -bounded if there exists a function f such that $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$, where $\chi(G)$ denotes the chromatic number, and $\omega(G)$ the clique number. In this case, we say that f is a χ -bounding function for \mathcal{G} . The Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture states that if T is a tree, then the family of graphs avoiding T as an induced subgraph is χ -bounded. An additional classical problem of interest about graphs avoiding a fixed induced subgraph is the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture [15]. This conjecture states that if H is a graph, then any induced H-free n-vertex graph contains either a clique or an independent set of size at least n^c for some c = c(H) > 0.

Here, we consider the problem of finding the maximum number of edges in a $K_{s,s}$ free graph, assuming the host graph satisfies certain further structural restrictions. To this end, given a family of graphs \mathcal{G} , let $\exp(n, s)$ denote the maximum number of edges of an *n*-vertex member of \mathcal{G} which contains no copy of $K_{s,s}$. In the past two decades, the function $\exp(n, s)$ has been extensively studied for various natural (typically hereditary) families \mathcal{G} . In each case, it has been observed that the trivial bound $\exp(n, s) \leq \exp(n, K_{s,s}) = O_s(n^{2-1/s})$ can be significantly improved. The study of these problems developed both in structural graph theory and combinatorial geometry, seemingly independently from each other. One goal of our manuscript is to provide a systematic study of $\exp(n, s)$, and to unite these two areas. Let us survey the known results.

Structural graph theory. Given a graph H, or a family of graphs \mathcal{H} , we are interested in the family \mathcal{G} defined as the family of all graphs containing no induced copy of a member of \mathcal{H} . In this case, let us write $\exp^*(n, \mathcal{H}, s) := \exp_{\mathcal{G}}(n, s)$, and simply $\exp^*(n, \mathcal{H}, s)$ if $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$. It was proved by Kühn and Osthus [38] that if \mathcal{H} is the family of all subdivisions of a fixed graph H, then $ex^*(n, \mathcal{H}, s) = O_s(n)$. The constant hidden in the $O_s(.)$ notation was recently improved by Du, Girão, Hunter, McCarty and Scott [13]. Bonamy et al. [7] showed that $ex^*(n, P_t, s) = s^{O_t(1)}n$ and $ex^*(n, \mathcal{C}_{\geq t}, s) = s^{O_t(1)}n$, where P_t is the path of length t and $\mathcal{C}_{\geq t}$ is the family of cycles of length at least t. A common strengthening of the previous results is proved independently by Girão and Hunter [26] and Bourneuf, Bucić, Cook and Davies [9]: if \mathcal{H} is the family of subdivisions of a graph H, then $ex^*(n, \mathcal{H}, s) \leq s^{O_H(1)}n$. As another generalization of the result on paths, Scott, Seymour and Spirkl [49], improving a previous unpublished result of Rödl, proved that for every tree T, one has $ex^*(n, T, s) = s^{O_T(1)}n$, where the exponent of s has the order of magnitude $|T|^{\Omega(|T|)}$.

These results are partially motivated by the above described Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture, which says that the family of graphs avoiding an induced copy of a fixed tree is χ -bounded. Gyárfás [27] proved that the conjecture is true for every path, while Kierstead and Penrice [34] proved it if T is a tree of radius two. In general, Scott [48] showed that if T is a tree, then the family of graphs avoiding all induced subdivisions of T is χ -bounded. Scott [48] also proposed the conjecture that this holds if we forbid all subdivisions of a given graph H, however, this is disproved by Pawlik et al. [47]. The polynomial Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture states that one can also find a polynomial χ -bounding function for families avoiding induced copies of a tree T. This conjecture is open even for paths with at least 5 vertices.

Observe that forbidding $K_{s,s}$ can be thought of as a relaxation of the condition that $\omega(G) \leq s$, which is equivalent to forbidding the complete graph K_{s+1} . Thus, considering $K_{s,s}$ -free graphs with no induced copies of H is an natural intermediate step in proving the Gyárfás-Sumner conjecture for some specific trees H, see e.g. [34,35]. If \mathcal{G} is a hereditary family of graphs (i.e. a family of graphs closed under taking induced subgraphs), then the inequality $\exp(n, s) \leq c(s)n$ implies that the $K_{s,s}$ -free members of \mathcal{G} are 2c(s)-degenerate (i.e. every subgraph has a vertex of degree at most 2c(s)). This implies that $K_{s,s}$ -free members of \mathcal{G} have chromatic number at most 2c(s) + 1. In particular, the results described above show that the family of graphs containing no $K_{s,s}$ and no induced subdivision of a given graph H have chromatic number at most $s^{O_H(1)}$.

Girão and Hunter [26] and Bourneuf, Bucić, Cook and Davies [9] also consider the family of graphs avoiding an induced copy of a bipartite graph H. Namely, they show that for every H, there exists $\varepsilon_H > 0$ such that $\exp^*(n, H, s) \leq O_{s,H}(n^{2-\varepsilon_H})$. Bourneuf, Bucić, Cook and Davies [9] find ε_H that is exponential in the size of H, while Girão and Hunter [26] show that ε_H can be taken to be $\frac{1}{100\Delta(H)}$, where $\Delta(H)$ is the maximum degree of H.

Combinatorial geometry. In this area we are interested in the following types of graphs. The *intersection graph* of a family \mathcal{F} is the graph on vertex set \mathcal{F} , where two sets are joined by an edge if they have a nonempty intersection. The *bipartite intersection graph* of two families \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is the bipartite graph with vertex classes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , with edges joining $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. The *incidence graph* of a set X and a family of sets \mathcal{F} is the bipartite graph with vertex classes X and \mathcal{F} , where $x \in X$ is joined to $A \in \mathcal{F}$ if $x \in A$.

A curve in the plane is the image of a continuous function $\phi : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, and a string graph is an intersection graph of a collection of curves in the plane. These graphs are extensively studied both in computational and combinatorial geometry. It is well known that string graphs avoid induced proper subdivisions of K_5 , where a subdivision is proper if every edge is subdivided at least once. This immediately implies that *n*-vertex $K_{s,s}$ -free string graphs have at most $O_s(n)$ edges by the results mentioned above [9,13,26,38]. The optimal bound $O(s(\log s)n)$ is obtained by Lee [40], building on ideas of Fox and Pach [21].

Chan and Har-Peled [11] considered incidence graphs of n points and n pseudo-discs in the plane. A family of simple closed Jordan regions is a family of *pseudo-discs* if the boundary of any two intersect in at most two points. They proved that if such an incidence graph is $K_{s,s}$ -free, then it has $O_s(n \log \log n)$ edges. This was strengthened by Keller and Smorodinsky [32] who proved that if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are families of n pseudo-disks, then the bipartite intersection graph of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} has at most $O(s^6n)$ edges, assuming it is $K_{s,s}$ free. We highlight that by a result Keszegh [33], such graphs contain no induced proper subdivision of a non-planar graph, in particular no induced proper subdivision of K_5 . Hence, one immediately gets the bound $s^{O(1)}n$ by [9,26]. The study of the family \mathcal{G}_{box} of incidence graphs of points and axis-parallel boxes in \mathbb{R}^d was initiated by Basit, Chernikov, Starchenko, Tao and Tran [6]. Chan and Har-Peled [11] determined the optimal bound $\exp_{\text{G}_{\text{box}}}(n, s) = O_s(n(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n})^{d-1})$. Furthermore, Tomon and Zakharov [57] study the closely related family of intersection graphs of axis-parallel boxes in \mathbb{R}^d , see also [32].

As a high-dimensional generalization of the Szemerédi-Trotter theorem [55], Chazelle [12] proposed to study $K_{s,s}$ -free incidence graphs of points and hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^d . In this context, forbidding $K_{s,s}$ in the incidence graph is a natural nondegeneracy condition, since otherwise all points may lie on a line which is contained in all hyperplanes, in which case no nontrivial upper bound on the number of incidences can be given. For $d \geq 3$, the currently best known upper bound on the number of edges in a $K_{s,s}$ -free incidence graph of n points and n hyperplanes is $O_{d,s}(n^{2-2/(d+1)})$, proved by Apfelbaum and Sharir [3], while the best known lower bound is due to Sudakov and Tomon [53]. In [44], Milojević, Sudakov and Tomon show that over any field \mathbb{F} , a $K_{s,s}$ -free incidence graph of n points and n hyperplanes has at most $O_{d,s}(n^{2-\frac{1}{\lceil (d+1)/2\rceil}})$ edges, and this bound is the best possible. The proof of this result proceeds by considering $ex^*(n, \mathcal{H}, s)$ for a simple finite family of bipartite graphs \mathcal{H} avoided by point-hyperplane incidence graphs. In another direction, Fox, Pach, Scheffer, Suk and Zahl [22] proved that the bound of Apfelbaum and Sharir [3] also holds (up to an o(1) error term in the exponent) for $K_{s,s}$ free semialgebraic graphs in dimension d as well (we refer the interested reader to [22] for precise definitions). One of their key tools is a bound on the number of edges in a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph of VC-dimension at most d. Here, a graph has VC-dimension at most d if it contains no set A of d+1 vertices such that for every $X \subset A$ there is a vertex joined to every vertex in X, but no vertex in $A \setminus X$. In [22], it is proved that a $K_{s,s}$ -free

graph of VC-dimension at most d has at most $O_{d,s}(n^{2-1/d})$ edges, see also [32] for an alternative proof. For $d \geq 3$, this was improved to $o(n^{2-1/d})$ by Janzer and Pohoata [31]. For a generalization of this result to an even wider class of graphs by Axenovich and Zimmermann, see [5].

Further related work. Loh, Tait, Timmons and Zhou [41] have defined the notion of *induced Turán number* for graphs H and F. This is the maximum number of edges in an n vertex graph G containing no induced copy of F and no (not necessarily induced) copy of H, and is denoted by $ex(n, \{H, F\text{-ind}\})$. In [41], they considered $H = K_r$ and $F = K_{s,t}$, obtaining the bound $e(G) \leq O_{r,s,t}(n^{2-1/s})$. They have also considered the cases when $F = K_{2,t}$ and H is either an odd cycle or a complete graph. Their work was later extended by Illingworth ([28], [29]) and Ergemlidze, Győri and Methuku [20]. These results are quite different from ours, since we consider hereditary families in which induced copies of an arbitrary bipartite graph F are forbidden, instead of just considering cases when F is a complete bipartite graph.

1.1. Main results

In this section, we present our results. Recall that $ex^*(n, H, s)$ denotes the maximum number of edges in an *n*-vertex graph containing no $K_{s,s}$ and no induced copy of H. We consider $ex^*(n, H, s)$ for various bipartite graphs H. Note that the case when H is not bipartite is not very interesting: as every graph contains a bipartite subgraph with at least half of the edges, we have $ex^*(n, H, s) = \Theta(ex(n, K_{s,s}))$. In the case where His bipartite, we believe that for sufficiently large s, $ex^*(n, H, s)$ is close to the extremal number of H. In particular, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. For every connected bipartite graph H,

$$ex^*(n, H, s) \le C_H(s) \cdot ex(n, H)$$

for some $C_H(s)$ depending only on H and s.

This conjecture is so far consistent with all known results. We provide further evidence by considering several families of bipartite graphs for which the extremal numbers are extensively studied. First, we consider bipartite graphs H = (A, B; E) in which all vertices in B have degree at most k. Such bipartite graphs are of interest due to a celebrated result of Füredi [25] and Alon, Krivelevich, Sudakov [1] which shows that $ex(n, H) = O_H(n^{2-1/k})$. In general, this bound is also the best possible by taking $H = K_{k,t}$ for sufficiently large t. We show that a similar bound holds for $ex^*(n, H, s)$ as well.

Theorem 1.2. Let H = (A, B; E) be a bipartite graph such that every vertex in B has degree at most k. Then,

$$\exp^*(n, H, s) \le (C_H s)^{4|V(H)|+10} n^{2-1/k},$$

with $C_H = 4|A||B|$.

This result improves the above mentioned bounds of Girão and Hunter [26] and Bourneuf, Bucić, Cook and Davies [9]. The exponent 2 - 1/k is optimal, which can be seen by considering $H = K_{k,t}$ for sufficiently large t.

Next, we consider trees. It is an easy exercise to show that if T is a tree, then $ex(n,T) \leq |T|n$. As proved by Scott, Seymour and Spirkl [49], $ex^*(n,T,s)$ also grows linearly as a function of n, and furthermore as a polynomial function of s. The exponent of s in their result is super-exponential in |T|, it is of the order $|T|^{\Omega(|T|)}$. One of our main results improves this to linear, i.e., $ex^*(n,T,s) = s^{O(|T|)}n$. This is optimal up to the constant term hidden by O(.) for every tree T.

Theorem 1.3. There exists an absolute constant C such that for every tree T on t vertices, and for every s sufficiently large with respect to t, we have

$$\operatorname{ex}^*(n, T, s) \le s^{Ct} n.$$

Let us argue why this theorem is optimal by showing that $ex^*(n, T, s) \ge s^{\Omega(t)}n$ for $s \gg t^2$. Consider the random graph on $N = s^{t/10}$ vertices, in which each edge is included with probability $1 - s^{-1/2}$. It is a standard union bound argument to show that this graph contains no $K_{s,s}$, no independent set of size at least t/2 (which ensures that it is also induced *T*-free), and has at least $N^2/4$ edges with high probability. Taking n/N disjoint copies of such a graph proves our lower bound.

Next, we consider the cycle of length 2k, denoted by C_{2k} . The classical result of Bondy and Simonovits [8] states that $ex(n, C_{2k}) = O_k(n^{1+1/k})$, and this bound is known to be tight for $k \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ [39]. We achieve a similar upper bound for $ex^*(n, C_{2k}, s)$ as well.

Theorem 1.4. Let $k, s \geq 2$ be integers, then there exists $C_{s,k}$ such that

$$\exp^*(n, C_{2k}, s) \le C_{s,k} n^{1+1/k}$$

Finally, we consider Q_8 , the graph of the cube. That is, the vertices of Q_8 are $\{0, 1\}^3$, and two vertices are connected by an edge if they differ in exactly one coordinate. Determining the order of $ex(n, Q_8)$ is an old open problem of Erdős [14], and the best known upper bound $ex(n, Q_8) = O(n^{8/5})$ is due Erdős and Simonovits [17]. We show the same upper bound for $ex(n, Q_8, s)$ as well.

Proposition 1.5. For every integer $s \ge 2$, there exists C_s such that

$$\exp^*(n, Q_8, s) \le C_s n^{8/5}$$

1.2. Applications

Our results and techniques have several additional applications which we discuss in this subsection.

Kühn and Osthus [37] proved that for every k, every graph of sufficiently large average degree contains a C_4 -free subgraph of average degree at least k (see also [45] for improved bounds). McCarty [43] established a natural induced variant of this result: for every k and sufficiently large s, there exists a smallest number g(k, s) such that if a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph G has average degree at least g(k, s), then G contains a C_4 -free *induced* subgraph of average degree at least k. Quantitative bounds are first proved by Du, Girão, Hunter, McCarty and Scott [13], who show that $g(k, s) \leq k^{O(s^3)}$, while Girão and Hunter [26] prove that $g(k, s) \leq s^{O(k^4)}$. In [26], the lower bound $g(k, s) \geq s^{\Omega(k^2)}$ is also established for s sufficiently large with respect to k. Our results can be used to show that this lower bound is tight and $g(k, s) \leq s^{O(k^2)}$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $k \ge 1$ be an integer and let s be sufficiently large compared to k. Then every $K_{s,s}$ -free graph G with average degree at least $s^{10^3k^2}$ contains an induced subgraph with no C_4 and average degree at least k.

Note that quantitative bounds for g(k, s) are quite useful, since there are interesting hereditary families \mathcal{G} which do not contain C_4 -free graphs of large average degree k. For such families, we know that the average degree of any $K_{s,s}$ -free graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is at most g(k, s) and therefore $\exp(n, s) \leq g(k, s)n$. For example, the family \mathcal{G} of graphs which do not contain an induced subdivision of a fixed graph H has this property, by a result of Kühn and Osthus [38]. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 1.6 can be used to show a tight bound on the Turán number of induced subdivisions in $K_{s,s}$ -free graphs, improving the bounds from [38,13,26,9].

Theorem 1.7. Let H be a fixed bipartite graph, s sufficiently large integer and let G be a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph which does not contain an induced subdivision of H. Then, the average degree of G is at most $s^{O(|V(H)|)}$.

The fact that this bound is tight up to the constant in the exponent of s follows from the same random graph construction discussed after the statement of Theorem 1.3.

A well known lopsided weakening of the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture, proved by Fox and Sudakov [23], says that every induced *H*-free graph on *n* vertices contains either a complete bipartite graph with vertex classes of size n^c , or an independent set of size at least n^c , for some c = c(H) > 0. Their proof implies that one can take c(H) = $\Omega(1/|V(H)|^3)$. We improve this in case *H* is bipartite to $c(H) = \Omega(1/|V(H)|)$, which is optimal as can be shown by considering appropriate random graphs. We also remark that a recent result of Nguyen, Scott and Seymour [46] proves the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture in the case one forbids *bi-induced copies* of a bipartite graph *H*, i.e. we forbid every induced copy of those graphs we get by possibly adding edges to the two vertex classes of H.

Theorem 1.8. Let H be a bipartite graph on h vertices. Then, for every sufficiently large n, every graph G on n vertices with no induced copy of H contains either an independent set of size $n^{\Omega(1/h)}$ or a complete bipartite graph with parts of size at least $n^{\Omega(1/h)}$.

2. Bipartite graphs with bounded degree on one side

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2, which we now restate in a slightly extended form.

Theorem 2.1. Let H = (A, B; E) be a bipartite graph such that the degree of every vertex in B is at most k, let $C_H = 4|A||B|$ and let G be a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph on n vertices.

(i) If $e(G) \ge (C_H s)^{4|V(H)|+10} n^{2-1/k}$, then G contains an induced copy of H. (ii) If $n \ge (C_H s)^{8|V(H)|+20}$ and $e(G) \ge n^{2-1/4k}$, then G contains an induced copy of H.

Although the second statement of Theorem 2.1 is weaker than the first one for large n, it will be used in the proof of some of our other results. We develop new ideas and machinery in order to guarantee that the exponent of s is linear in |V(H)|. Indeed, there are shorter proofs available that achieve an exponent of s that is quadratic in |V(H)| (see [26] for similar arguments). However, the methods used for obtaining this linear dependence are crucial for getting optimal results in our applications.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 has three main steps, which we outline now. Throughout this section, we fix a host graph G with n vertices and at least $Cn^{2-1/k}$ edges which does not contain $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph, and we fix the bipartite graph H = (A, B; E). Furthermore, we denote the size of A and B by a and b, and write |V(H)| = h = a + b.

- Step 1. Find a large set $X \subset V(G)$ in which every k-tuple of vertices has a large common neighbourhood. This step is a standard application of the dependent random choice technique [24], which is a technique used in the proof that the usual Turán number of H is $O(n^{2-1/k})$.
- **Step 2.** Construct *rich* independent sets in X. Here, we call a set of vertices $S \subset V(G)$ *rich* if for all subsets $T \subseteq S$ of size at most k, there are at least $(4bs)^b$ vertices $v \in V(G) \setminus S$ which are adjacent to all vertices of T and non-adjacent to all vertices of $S \setminus T$. This step is the most technically involved part of our proof.
- **Step 3.** Show that if I is a rich independent set of size a, then there is a set $U \subset V(G)$ of size b such that $U \cup I$ induces a copy of H.

After presenting the proof of Theorem 2.1, we give a simple construction that shows why the upper bounds we obtain must depend polynomially on s.

2.1. Embedding the vertices of degree at most k

We start with step 3, that is, we show how to find an induced copy of H given a rich independent set. We begin this section by presenting a simple statement that will be used repeatedly in our proofs.

Claim 2.2. Let G be a graph which does not contain $K_{s,s}$. Then for every set W of size at least 2s, there are at most s vertices $v \in V(G)$ for which $|W \setminus N(v)| \leq |W|/2s$.

Proof. Suppose there are s vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_s \in V(G)$ such that $|N(v_i) \cap W| \ge (1 - \frac{1}{2s})|W|$. Then, the common neighbourhood of v_1, \ldots, v_s in W has size at least $|W| - s \cdot \frac{1}{2s}|W| = |W|/2 \ge s$. This contradicts that G is $K_{s,s}$ -free. \Box

Recall that a set of vertices $S \subset V(G)$ is rich if for each $T \subseteq S$, $|T| \leq k$, we have

$$|\{v \in V(G) \setminus S : N(v) \cap S = T\}| \ge (4bs)^b.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let G be a graph not containing $K_{s,s}$. If G contains a rich independent set of size a, then G contains H as an induced subgraph.

Proof. Let *I* be a rich independent set of size |A|. We embed the vertices of *A* into *I* in an arbitrary manner and show that we can extend this embedding to obtain an induced copy of *H*. We index the vertices of *B* by $b_1, \ldots, b_{|B|}$, and we denote the embedding of vertices of *A* into *I* by ϕ .

We embed the vertices $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{|B|}$ inductively. Assume that we already defined the images $\phi(b_1), \ldots, \phi(b_i)$. A vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus (\{\phi(b_1), \ldots, \phi(b_i)\} \cup I)$ is a *candidate* for b_j if it is not adjacent to $\phi(b_1), \ldots, \phi(b_i)$, and satisfies $N(v) \cap I = \phi(N_H(b_j))$. The first condition serves to ensure that the embedding of B forms an independent set, while the second one guarantees that the edges between $\phi(A)$ and $\phi(B)$ correspond precisely to the edges of H. We show by induction on $i = 0, 1, \ldots, |B|$ that one can embed the vertices b_1, \ldots, b_i such that for each j > i, there are at least $(4|B|s)^{|B|-i}$ candidates for b_j . When i = 0, there are at least $(4|B|s)^{|B|}$ candidates for each b_j , since I is a rich independent set and b_j has at most k neighbours. Having defined $\phi(b_1), \ldots, \phi(b_i)$, our goal is to define $\phi(b_{i+1})$ such that the sets of candidates have not decreased too much. More precisely, if we denote the set of candidates for b_j by U_j , our goal is to find a vertex $v \in U_{i+1}$ for which $|U_j \setminus N(v)| \ge |U_j|/2s$ for all $j \ge i + 2$. If we find such a vertex v, then we take $\phi(b_{i+1}) := v$.

The existence of such a vertex v is a simple consequence of Claim 2.2. Namely, by the induction hypothesis, for $i \leq |B| - 1$ we have $|U_j| \geq (4|B|s)^{|B|-i} \geq 2s$ for all j > i and therefore for each j > i there are at most s vertices v in U_{i+1} with $|U_j \setminus N(v)| \leq |U_j|/2s$. Therefore, from $|U_{i+1}| \geq (4|B|s)^{|B|-i} > |B|s$ we conclude there is a vertex $v \in U_{i+1}$ such that for any $j \geq i+2$ one has $|U_j \setminus N(v)| \geq |U_j|/2s$. Setting $\phi(b_{i+1}) = v$ is sufficient to show the induction step. The set of candidates for b_j is decreased from U_j to $U_j \setminus (N(v) \cup \{v\})$ and we have

$$|U_j \setminus (N(v) \cup \{v\})| \ge \frac{1}{2s} |U_j| - 1 \ge \frac{1}{2s} (4|B|s)^{|B|-i} - 1 \ge (4|B|s)^{|B|-(i+1)}$$

Thus, by iterating this procedure until i = |B|, we conclude that there is an embedding $\phi: V(H) \to V(G)$ which induces a copy of H, thus completing the proof. \Box

2.2. Finding a rich independent set

In this section, we prove the following proposition, which serves as the second step in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Recall that $C_H = 4ab$.

Proposition 2.4. Let G be a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph and $X \subseteq V(G)$ a set of at least $(C_H s)^{4a+10}$ vertices in which every k-tuple of vertices has at least $(C_H s)^{2h}$ common neighbours. Then X contains a rich independent set of size a.

The techniques discussed in this section may be of independent interest and therefore we state them in a slightly more general setting than needed. We begin by introducing some terminology. Given a hypergraph \mathcal{H} and $S \subset V(\mathcal{H})$, we define the *degree* of the set S as the number of edges of \mathcal{H} containing S, i.e. $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(S) := |\{e \in E(\mathcal{H}) : S \subseteq e\}|$. Furthermore, we say that an edge $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$ is δ -heavy if it contains a set $S \subset e$ and a vertex $v \in e \setminus S$ for which $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(S \cup \{v\}) \geq \delta \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(S)$. Otherwise, we say that e is δ -light. Finally, we say a hypergraph \mathcal{H} is (ε, δ) -superspread if at most $\varepsilon e(\mathcal{H})$ edges of \mathcal{H} are δ heavy. The reason we call such hypergraphs "superspread" is that a $(0, \delta)$ -superspread hypergraph must satisfy $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(S) \leq \delta^{|S|} e(\mathcal{H})$ for all sets $S \subset V(\mathcal{H})$, implying that \mathcal{H} is " δ -spread" in the terminology of the recent breakthrough work [2] on the Sunflower conjecture.

The definition of (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraphs is designed with the following goal in mind. Suppose \mathfrak{B} is a collection of ordered ℓ -tuples of distinct vertices of \mathcal{H} with the property that for any $v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1} \in V(\mathcal{H})$, there are at most s vertices v_ℓ for which $(v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then, we can show that many edges of an (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph \mathcal{H} do not contain any ℓ -tuples of \mathfrak{B} .

Let us now give an imprecise explanation of how these notions will come into play in our proof. We consider the hypergraph \mathcal{H} which consists of many independent sets of a given size inside the set $X \subseteq V(G)$. The first step is to turn this hypergraph into a (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph by cleaning it. Then, we define a collection of "bad" tuples \mathfrak{B} by saying that (v_1, \ldots, v_ℓ) is bad if $N(v_\ell)$ contains too many vertices from the set $S = N(v_1, \ldots, v_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\ell-1} N(v_j)$, for some fixed index *i*. Thus, for any fixed $v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1}$ for which the set *S* is not too small, Claim 2.2 shows that there are at most *s* vertices v_ℓ making the ℓ -tuple (v_1, \ldots, v_ℓ) bad. Then, we show that there exists an independent set avoiding all bad tuples, which turns out to be sufficient for the independent set to be rich.

Now, we start presenting the precise statements and proofs. First, we show that every r-uniform hypergraph with sufficiently many edges can be turned into a (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph through a cleaning procedure.

Proposition 2.5. Let $r \ge a \ge 1$ be fixed integers and let $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0,1)$ be real numbers. Suppose that \mathcal{H} is an r-uniform hypergraph with n vertices and at least $C_r(\varepsilon\delta)^{-r}n^{a-1}$ edges, where $C_r = r^r 2^{r^2}$. Then, there exists an integer $t \ge a$ and a nonempty t-uniform (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph \mathcal{H}' on the vertex set $V(\mathcal{H})$ such that every edge $e' \in E(\mathcal{H}')$ is contained in some edge $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$.

When proving this proposition, we may assume that \mathcal{H} is an *r*-partite hypergraph. Indeed, we can find an *r*-partite subhypergraph with at least $\frac{r!}{r^r}$ proportion of the edges, and passing to such a subhypergraph only effects the constant C_r . Then, for an *r*-partite *r*-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} , with an *r*-partition given by $V(\mathcal{H}) = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$, and for an index set $I \subset [r]$, we define the *restriction* of \mathcal{H} to *I*, denoted by \mathcal{H}_I , as the hypergraph with the vertex set $V_I = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ and the edge set $E(\mathcal{H}_I) = \{e \cap V_I : e \in E(\mathcal{H})\}$.

The main idea is to show that, as long as \mathcal{H}_I is not (ε, δ) -superspread, one can eliminate an index *i* from *I* without decreasing the number of edges of \mathcal{H}_I significantly. Then, the bound on the number of edges of \mathcal{H} allows us to show that this density increment procedure stops with some uniformity $t \ge a$. We begin by showing this statement in the form of an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let \mathcal{H} be an r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with n vertices which is not (ε, δ) -superspread. Then, there exists an index $i \in [r]$ such that $e(\mathcal{H}_{[r] \setminus \{i\}}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{r2^r} e(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{H} is not (ε, δ) -superspread, at least an ε -fraction of its edges are δ -heavy. In other words, for at least $\varepsilon e(\mathcal{H})$ edges $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a set $S_e \subset e$ and a vertex $v_e \in e \setminus S_e$ such that $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(\{v_e\} \cup S_e) \geq \delta \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(S_e)$. Let us record for each edge, from which parts V_1, \ldots, V_r the vertices of S_e and the vertex v_e come from. Formally, for each δ -heavy edge e, we can define the index $i_e \in [r]$ such that $v_e = e \cap V_{i_e}$. Similarly, we define the set $J_e \subseteq [r]$ for which $S_e = e \cap \bigcup_{j \in J_e} V_j$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist an index set $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_m\} \subseteq [r]$ and an index $i \in [r]$ such that $S_e = e \cap V_J$ and $\{v_e\} = e \cap V_i$ for at least an $\frac{\varepsilon}{r2^r}$ -fraction of the edges of \mathcal{H} . Let us denote the set of such edges by E^* .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that J = [m] and i = m + 1. We claim that $\mathcal{H}_{[r] \setminus \{m+1\}}$ has at least $\frac{\varepsilon \delta}{r2^r} e(\mathcal{H})$ edges, or equivalently, the edges of \mathcal{H} form at least $\frac{\varepsilon \delta}{r2^r} e(\mathcal{H})$ distinct intersections with $V(\mathcal{H}_{[r] \setminus \{m+1\}}) = \bigcup_{i \neq m+1} V_i$. The number of edges of the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_{[r] \setminus \{m+1\}}$ can be computed as the sum of the degrees of all *m*-tuples of vertices from $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$. Formally, we have Z. Hunter et al. / Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 172 (2025) 168–197 179

$$e(\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}) = \sum_{v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_m \in V_m} \deg_{\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}} (v_1, \dots, v_m).$$

Observe that the degrees $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}}(v_1,\ldots,v_m)$ are lower bounded by

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}}(v_1,\ldots,v_m) \ge \max_{v\in V_{m+1}} \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1,\ldots,v_m,v).$$

Furthermore, for each δ -heavy edge $e \in E^*$ given by $e = (v_1, \ldots, v_r)$, we have $\delta \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \ldots, v_m) \leq \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \ldots, v_{m+1})$. Thus, for every *m*-tuple (v_1, \ldots, v_m) contained in some δ -heavy edge $e \in E^*$, one has

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}}(v_1,\ldots,v_m) \ge \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1,\ldots,v_{m+1}) \ge \delta \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1,\ldots,v_m).$$

Thus, we can lower bound the number of edges in $\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}$ by restricting the sum over only those tuples $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_m \in V_m$ for which there is a δ -heavy edge $e \in E^*$ containing them. Then, we get

$$e(\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}) \geq \sum_{\substack{v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_m \in V_m \\ \exists e \in E^* : v_1, \dots, v_m \in e}} \deg_{\mathcal{H}_{[r]\setminus\{m+1\}}}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$$
$$\geq \sum_{\substack{v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_m \in V_m \\ \exists e \in E^* : v_1, \dots, v_m \in e}} \delta \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$$
$$\geq \delta |E^*| \geq \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{r2^r} e(\mathcal{H}).$$

This finishes the proof. \Box

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 2.5, which shows that we can restrict every hypergraph to an (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. As suggested in the short discussion following the statement of Proposition 2.5, by randomly partitioning the vertex set of \mathcal{H} into r parts, we may pass to a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ which is an r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with at least $\frac{r!}{r^r}e(\mathcal{H})$ edges. Let V_1, \ldots, V_r be the parts of \mathcal{H}_0 .

Lemma 2.6 shows that if \mathcal{H}_0 is not (ε, δ) -superspread, one can eliminate one of the parts V_i and obtain an (r-1)-partite (r-1)-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H}_1 with at least $\frac{\varepsilon\delta}{r^{2r}}e(\mathcal{H}_0)$ edges. In general, this procedure can be repeated, thus obtaining a sequence of hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_m$, where \mathcal{H}_i is an (r-i)-partite (r-i)-uniform hypergraph with

$$e(\mathcal{H}_i) \ge \frac{(\varepsilon\delta)^i}{r(r-1)\cdots(r-i+1)} 2^{-\sum_{k=r-i+1}^r k} \cdot e(\mathcal{H}_0)$$
$$> \frac{(\varepsilon\delta)^r}{r!} 2^{-r^2} \left(\frac{r!}{r^r} C_r(\varepsilon\delta)^{-r} n^{a-1}\right) \ge n^{a-1}.$$

Since we have $e(\mathcal{H}_i) > n^{a-1}$ for all *i*, the process must stop at uniformity $t = r - i \ge a$, as a *t*-uniform hypergraph on *n* vertices has less than n^t edges. Thus, we find a (ε, δ) superspread hypergraph \mathcal{H}_i which satisfies all requirements. \Box

In the next proposition, we show how to use the fact that \mathcal{H} is superspread to bound the number of edges of \mathcal{H} which contain "bad" tuples from certain structured collections \mathfrak{B} .

Proposition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be a t-uniform (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph and let \mathfrak{B} be a collection of "bad" ordered ℓ -tuples of distinct elements of $V(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the property that for any $v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1} \in V(\mathcal{H})$, there exist at most s vertices v_ℓ for which $(v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then, at most $(\varepsilon + t!s\delta)e(\mathcal{H})$ edges of \mathcal{H} contain a tuple of \mathfrak{B} .

Proof. Since \mathcal{H} is a (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph, at most $\varepsilon e(\mathcal{H})$ edges of \mathcal{H} are δ -heavy. Thus, it is enough to show that among the δ -light edges of \mathcal{H} , at most $t!s\delta e(\mathcal{H})$ contain a tuple of \mathfrak{B} . To this end, say that an edge of \mathcal{H} is bad if it is δ -light and contains some tuple of \mathfrak{B} . Observe that the number of δ -light edges of \mathcal{H} containing a bad ℓ -tuple $(v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) \in \mathfrak{B}$ can be bounded by $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) \leq \delta \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1})$, where the inequality follows from the definition of δ -lightness. Furthermore, for any fixed $(\ell - 1)$ tuple of distinct vertices $(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1})$, there exist at most s vertices v_ℓ completing it to a bad ℓ -tuple $(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell) \in \mathfrak{B}$. Thus, we conclude that the number of bad edges of \mathcal{H} which contain a fixed $(\ell - 1)$ -tuple $(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1})$ is at most $\delta s \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1})$.

Hence, the total number of bad edges can be bounded by

$$\delta s \sum_{\text{distinct } v_1, \dots, v_{\ell-1}} \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \dots, v_{\ell-1}) = \delta s(\ell-1)! \binom{t}{\ell-1} e(\mathcal{H})$$

The last equality is the consequence of double counting, as the sum $\sum \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1})$ counts the number of pairs $(e, (v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1}))$ for which the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1}$ belong to e and $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$. Since every edge e of cardinality t contains exactly $(\ell - 1)! \binom{t}{\ell-1}$ ordered $(\ell - 1)$ -tuples, the equality follows. Since $\delta s(\ell - 1)! \binom{t}{\ell-1} e(\mathcal{H}) < \delta st! e(\mathcal{H})$, we conclude that the number of bad edges is at most $\delta st! e(\mathcal{H})$, finishing the proof. \Box

Corollary 2.8. Let $s, a \ge 1$ be integers. Let G be a graph which does not contain $K_{s,s}$ and let $X \subseteq V(G)$ be a subset of at least $|X| \ge (2a)^{4a+10}(4s)^{4a+2}$ vertices. Then, there exists some $t \in [a, 2a]$ and a non-empty collection \mathcal{I} of independent sets of size t in X such that \mathcal{I} is an (ε, δ) -superspread hypergraph, where $\varepsilon = (2a)^{-2}$ and $\delta = (2a)^{-2(a+1)}s^{-1}$.

Proof. Set r := 2a. The main idea is to apply Proposition 2.5 to the *r*-uniform hypergraph, whose edges are the independent sets of size 2a in X. In order to do this, we need to verify that there are at least $C_r(\varepsilon\delta)^{-r}|X|^{a-1}$ independent sets of size r in X, where $C_r = r^2 2^{r^2}$. This will simply follow from a supersaturation argument. Namely, by the Erdős-Szekeres theorem [19], the Ramsey number of $K_{s,s}$ versus K_{2a} can be upper bounded as

$$R(K_{s,s}, K_r) \le R(K_{2s}, K_r) \le {\binom{2s+r-2}{r-1}} \le (2s)^r.$$

Hence, every set $Y \subseteq X$ of size $|Y| = (2s)^r$ contains a independent set of size r (since G is $K_{s,s}$ -free). On the other hand, each independent set of size r belongs to $\binom{|X|-r}{(2s)^r-r}$ sets Y of size $|Y| = (2s)^r$. Thus, the collection \mathcal{I}_r of independent sets of size r in X has cardinality at least

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_r| &\geq \frac{\binom{|X|}{(2s)^r}}{\binom{|X|-r}{(2s)^r-r}} \geq \left(\frac{|X|}{(2s)^r}\right)^r \geq \left(\sqrt{|X|} \cdot \frac{r^{r+5}(4s)^{r+1}}{(2s)^r}\right)^r \\ &\geq r^r 2^{r^2} r^{2r} \left(sr^{r+2}\right)^r |X|^a \geq C_r (\varepsilon\delta)^{-r} |X|^{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This suffices for Proposition 2.5 to be applied and therefore we find the collection \mathcal{I} satisfying all necessary conditions. \Box

Finally, we show how to find rich independent sets in the graph G.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. The main idea of this proof is to apply Corollary 2.8 to find a superspread collection of independent sets in X. Then, we define a set of "bad" tuples with the property that if an independent set contains no "bad" tuples, then it must be a rich independent set.

Let us present this argument formally. Since $|X| \ge (4|A||B|s)^{4|A|+10}$, Corollary 2.8 implies that there exists a non-empty (ε, δ) -superspread collection of independent sets \mathcal{I} of size $t \in [|A|, 2|A|]$ in X, where $\varepsilon = (2a)^{-2}$ and $\delta = (2a)^{-2(a+1)}s^{-1}$. Then, we define the tk collections of bad ordered tuples, $\mathfrak{B}_{i,\ell}$ for $i \in [k]$ and $\ell \in [t]$ as follows. The ℓ -tuple of distinct vertices (v_1, \ldots, v_ℓ) belongs to $\mathfrak{B}_{i,\ell}$ if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- The set $S = N(v_1, \ldots, v_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\ell-1} N(v_j)$ contains at least 2s vertices, and
- $|S \setminus N(v_\ell)| < \frac{1}{2s}|S|.$

By Claim 2.2, for any $(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell-1})$ satisfying the first condition, there are at most s vertices v_{ℓ} for which $(v_1, \ldots, v_{\ell}) \in \mathfrak{B}_{i,\ell}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{B}_{i,\ell}$ satisfies the requirement of Proposition 2.7, and we conclude that at most $(\varepsilon + t!s\delta)e(\mathcal{I})$ independent sets of \mathcal{I} contain a tuple of $\mathfrak{B}_{i,\ell}$.

Thus, there are at most $tk(\varepsilon + t!s\delta)|\mathcal{I}|$ independent sets of \mathcal{I} which contain a bad tuple from any of the families $\mathfrak{B}_{i,\ell}$. In particular, noting that $tk(\varepsilon + t!s\delta) \leq 2a^2(\frac{1}{4a^2} + \frac{(2a)!s}{(2a)^{2a+2s}}) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} < 1$, there exists an independent set $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ containing no bad tuples. Let $I \subseteq I_0$ be an independent set of size |A|. We claim that I is a rich independent set, that is, for any $T \subset I$ of size at most k, there are at least $(4|B|s)^{|B|}$ vertices $v \in V(G) \setminus I$ which are adjacent to all vertices of Tand non-adjacent to all vertices of $I \setminus T$. Indeed, consider a subset $T \subset I$ with $|T| = i \leq k$ and let us enumerate the vertices of I by $v_1, \ldots, v_{|A|}$ such that $T = \{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}$. By the definition of X, any k vertices of X have at least $(C_H s)^{2|V(H)|}$ common neighbours in Gand therefore $|N(v_1, \ldots, v_i)| \geq (C_H s)^{2|V(H)|}$. We can now show by induction that

$$\left| N(v_1, \dots, v_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\ell} N(v_j) \right| \ge (2s)^{-(\ell-i)} (C_H s)^{2|V(H)|}.$$

For $\ell = i$, this is equivalent to the fact v_1, \ldots, v_i have many common neighbours, which was stated above. For $\ell > i$, under the assumption $|N(v_1, \ldots, v_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\ell-1} N(v_j)| \ge (2s)^{-(\ell-i)+1} (C_H s)^{2|V(H)|} \ge 2s$, by recalling the fact that I contains no bad tuples from $\mathfrak{B}_{i,\ell}$, we conclude that

$$\left| \left(N(v_1, \dots, v_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\ell-1} N(v_j) \right) \setminus N(v_\ell) \right|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2s} \cdot (2s)^{-(\ell-i+1)} (C_H s)^{2|V(H)|} = (2s)^{-(\ell-i)} (C_H s)^{2|V(H)|}$$

In particular, when $\ell = |I|$, we conclude that the number of vertices of G adjacent to all vertices of T and nonadjacent to all vertices of $I \setminus T$ is at least $(C_H s)^{|V(H)|} \ge (4|B|s)^{|B|}$. This suffices to conclude that I is a rich independent set, completing the proof. \Box

2.3. Finishing the proof

In this section, we put everything together to prove Theorem 2.1. In the proof, we use the following standard form of the dependent random choice lemma.

Lemma 2.9 (Lemma 2.1 in [24]). Let ℓ, m, k be positive integers. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with |V| = n vertices and average degree d = 2|E(G)|/n. If there is a positive integer t such that

$$\frac{d^t}{n^{t-1}} - \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^t \ge \ell$$

then G contains a subset X of at least ℓ vertices such that every k vertices in X have at least m common neighbours.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (i) We follow the outline presented in the beginning of this section. The first step is to apply Lemma 2.9 with $\ell = (C_H s)^{4h+10}$, $m = (C_H s)^{2h}$, and

t = k, and note that $d \ge (C_H s)^{4h+10} n^{1-1/k}$. The assumption of Lemma 2.9 is satisfied since

$$\frac{d^t}{n^{t-1}} - \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^t \ge (C_H s)^{(4h+10)k} - \frac{n^k}{k!} \frac{(C_H s)^{2hk}}{n^k} \ge \ell.$$

Hence, we can find a subset $X \subset V(G)$ such that $|X| \ge \ell$ and every k-tuple of vertices in X has at least m common neighbours. By Proposition 2.4, X contains a rich independent set of size a. Finally, by Lemma 2.3, G contains an induced copy of H.

(ii) The proof is almost the same as above: apply Lemma 2.9 with $\ell = m = \sqrt{n} \ge (C_H s)^{4h+10}$, and t = 2k, and note that $d \ge 2n^{1-1/4k}$. Since

$$\frac{d^t}{n^{t-1}} - \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^t \ge 2^{2k} \frac{n^{2k-\frac{1}{2}}}{n^{2k-1}} - \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}\right)^{2k} \ge 2^{2k} \sqrt{n} - 1 \ge \ell,$$

the assumption of Lemma 2.9 holds and we can find a subset $X \subset V(G)$ such that $|X| \geq \ell \geq (C_H s)^{4h+10}$ and every k-tuple of vertices in X has at least $m \geq (C_H s)^{2h}$ common neighbours. From this point, the proof is identical to that of (i). \Box

2.4. Lower bounds

To conclude this section, we briefly sketch a lower bound construction for the induced Turán number of a complete bipartite graph. Namely, in Theorem 2.1 we have shown that $ex^*(n, H, s) \leq (C_H s)^{4|V(H)|+10} n^{2-1/k}$, for some constant C_H depending on the graph H. Now, we show that the constant next to $n^{2-1/k}$ indeed needs to grow with spolynomially, at least when H is the complete bipartite graph.

Proposition 2.10. Let $H = K_{k,\ell}$ where $\ell = (k-1)!$. Then, for all integers $n \ge s \ge 2k!$ we have

$$ex^*(n, H, s) = \Omega_k(s^{1/k}n^{2-1/k}).$$

Proof. Let G_0 be an extremal bipartite H-free graph on $n_0 = \frac{n}{t}$ vertices, where $t = \frac{s}{2k!}$. By a classical result of Alon, Rónyai and Szabó [4] (see also [10]), there exists such G_0 with at least $\Omega(n_0^{2-1/k})$ edges. Then, we let G be a t-fold blowup of the graph G_0 , where every vertex of G_0 is replaced by a clique of size t and every edge is replaced by a complete bipartite graph $K_{t,t}$. We say that two vertices in such a t-clique are *twins*. The graph G has at most $n_0 t \leq n$ vertices and at least $\Omega(t^2 e(G_0)) = \Omega(t^{1/k} (n_0 t)^{2-1/k}) = \Omega_k(s^{1/k}n^{2-1/k})$ edges. If we show that G has no induced copy of H and no $K_{s,s}$, this implies that $ex^*(n, H, s) = \Omega_k(s^{1/k}n^{2-1/k})$.

Let us observe that if $U \subset V(G)$ induces a copy of H, then no two vertices of U are twins. If two vertices from the same part of H are embedded into twins, they are adjacent in G, which is not possible. On the other hand, if two vertices from different

parts of H are embedded into twins, then any other vertex of G is either connected to both of them or to none. Therefore, we conclude that U contains no twins. But then each vertex of U corresponds to a unique vertex in G_0 , so G_0 contains an induced copy of H as well, contradiction.

Finally, one can argue that if G contains $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph, then G_0 must contain $K_{s/t,s/t} = K_{2k!,2k!}$, contradiction. This completes the proof. \Box

One can slightly improve the above construction when $n = 2^{O(s)}$ by replacing vertices in the blow-up by Ramsey graphs avoiding $K_{s/2,s/2}$ and independent sets of size h, instead of replacing them by cliques. If one replaces the edges between these vertices with dense graphs which avoid $K_{s/2,s/2}$, the resulting graph has no $K_{s,s}$. Hence, this improved construction shows that $ex^*(n, H, s) \geq s^{|V(H)|^{1/(k+1)}} n^{2-\frac{1}{k}}$, i.e., that the exponent of sshould grow with |V(H)|. We omit further details since there is still a large gap between upper and lower bounds.

3. Trees, induced C_4 -free subgraphs and the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We also present three short applications of the results obtained in the previous section, proving Theorems 1.6–1.8.

Let us begin by discussing Theorem 1.3. The starting point of our proofs is Proposition 7.9 from a recent paper of Girão and the first author [26], which shows that within every graph of large enough average degree, one can find either an induced subgraph which is C_4 -free with average degree larger than any constant or an induced subgraph with almost quadratically many edges.

Proposition 3.1 ([26]). Let H be a bipartite graph and fix an integer k and $\varepsilon > 0$. For all sufficiently large d, the following is true. Let G be a graph with no induced copy of H and with average degree d. Then G has an induced subgraph G' satisfying one of the following:

- G' has no C_4 and has average degree at least k;
- G' has $n' \ge d^{1/10}$ vertices and $e(G') \ge (n')^{2-\varepsilon}$.

We note that the sufficiently large condition in the above result is not especially quantitative. It would be interesting to determine whether we can take $d \leq k^{O_H(1/\varepsilon)}$.

In either of the cases, our goal is to find an induced copy of our tree T within G'. Before we embark on our proof, we first show that it is easy to embed T in C_4 -free graphs of large average degree.

Proposition 3.2. Let T be a tree on t vertices. Then $ex^*(n, T, 2) \leq 2tn$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of vertices, and we note that the statement is trivial when T consists of a single vertex. For general trees, let us fix a host graph G on n vertices which is C_4 -free and has e(G) > 2tn.

We may clean the graph G such that its minimal degree is at least 2t. Namely, as long as there exists a vertex of degree less than 2t in G, one may remove it. The maximum number of edges removed in this way is at most 2tn and therefore the cleaning procedure ends with a non-empty graph whose minimal degree is at least 2t. To simplify notation, we still denote this cleaned version of the graph by G.

Let T' be a tree on t-1 vertices obtained by deleting a leaf v from the tree T. We denote by u the unique neighbour of v in T. By inductive hypothesis, the graph G contains an induced copy of T'.

If the vertex u' plays the role of u in this copy, if suffices to find a vertex v' in the neighbourhood of u' which is not adjacent to any of the other vertices in the induced copy of T'.

Since G is C_4 -free, u' shares at most one neighbour with any other vertex of this induced copy of T'. Therefore, since the neighbourhood of u' has at least 2t elements, it contains a vertex v' different from and non-adjacent to all other vertices of the induced copy of T'. Adding this vertex to the copy of T' gives an induced copy of T, thus completing the proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a graph with n vertices and at least $s^{Ct}n$ edges, where C = 150. We show that if G is $K_{s,s}$ -free, then there exists an induced copy of the tree T on t edges in G. Let us assume, for the sake of contradiction, that G does not contain an induced copy of T and further assume that $t \ge 3$, since otherwise the statement is trivial.

Apply Proposition 3.1 to the graph G with parameters H := T, k := 4t + 1 and $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{4t}$. We conclude that, for all sufficiently large s, either G contains an induced C_4 -free subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ with average degree greater than 4t, or an induced subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ on $n' \geq s^{Ct/10}$ vertices and average degree $(n')^{1-\frac{1}{4t}}$.

In the first case, we can apply Proposition 3.2 to conclude that G' contains an induced copy of T, and hence G also contains an induced copy of T. In the second case, we apply (ii) of Theorem 2.1 to the host graph G' with $n' \geq s^{Ct/10} > (t^2s)^{8t+20}$ vertices and $e(G') \geq (n')^{2-\frac{1}{4t}}$ edges. Since G is $K_{s,s}$ -free, so is G', and we conclude that G' contains an induced copy of T. This completes the proof. \Box

In what follows, we present further applications of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For each $k \ge 1$, we construct a C_4 -free bipartite graph H_k of average degree k and at most $8k^2$ vertices. To do this, we pick the smallest prime power q satisfying $q \ge k - 1$ and setting H_k to be the point-line incidence graph of projective plane with $q^2 + q + 1$ elements. Then, H_k is a (q + 1)-regular graph and so H is a C_4 -

free graph with average degree at least k. Moreover, by Bertrand's postulate, we have $q \leq 2(k-1)$ and thus H_k has at most $2(q^2 + q + 1) \leq 8k^2$ vertices.

Let us now suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that for some sufficiently large s, there exists a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph G with average degree $d(G) \geq s^{10^3k^2}$ and no C_4 -free induced subgraph of average degree at least k. Since G does not contain an induced copy of H_k and s is sufficiently large compared to k, we may apply Proposition 3.1 to it with parameters k and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{8k}$.

By our assumption on G, the first conclusion of the Proposition 3.1 cannot hold and therefore G contains an induced subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ on $n' \geq d(G)^{1/10} \geq s^{100k^2} > (C_{H_k}s)^{8|V(H_k)|+20}$ vertices and with $e(G') \geq (n')^{2-\frac{1}{8k}}$ edges. Since H_k is a (q+1)-regular graph, its degrees are bounded by 2k and therefore part (ii) of Theorem 2.1 shows that G' contains an induced copy of H_k . This presents a contradiction to the assumption that G has no C_4 -free induced subgraph of average degree at least k, thus completing the proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Assume that the statement is not true and there exists a $K_{s,s}$ free graph G which does not contain an induced subdivision of H and has average degree
at least $s^{150|V(H)|}$. Also, assume $|V(H)| \ge 3$, since the statement is otherwise trivial. A
result of Kühn and Osthus [38] states that every C_4 -free graph G' of large enough average
degree $d(G') \ge d_0$ must contain an induced subdivision of H, where d_0 may depend on H. Therefore, we conclude G does not contain an induced C_4 -free subgraph G' of average
degree at least d_0 .

Furthermore, since G does not contain an induced subdivision of H, it does not contain an induced copy of H. Therefore, Proposition 3.1 applies to G, with the parameters $k = d_0$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4|V(H)|}$. By the above discussion, the first conclusion of Proposition 3.1 cannot hold and hence G contains an induced subgraph G' on $n' \ge d(G)^{1/10} \ge s^{15|V(H)|} \ge (C_H s)^{8|V(H)|+20}$ vertices and with $e(G') \ge (n')^{2-1/4|V(H)|}$ edges. But Theorem 2.1 now implies that G contains either $K_{s,s}$ or an induced copy of H, contradiction. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Set $s = \frac{1}{h^2} n^{\frac{1}{8h+20}}$. If G does not contain $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph and G does not contain an induced copy of H, we apply Theorem 2.1 to deduce that G has few edges. By our choice of s, we have $n \ge (C_H s)^{8h+20}$ and therefore part (ii) of Theorem 2.1 applies to show that G has at most $n^{2-\frac{1}{4h}}$ edges. Hence, G must contain an independent set of size at least $n^{\frac{1}{4h}}$, which completes the proof. \Box

4. Cycles and the cube

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4, which is concerned with graphs that are induced C_{2k} -free and $K_{s,s}$ -free. Also, we show Proposition 1.5 which gives an upper bound on the induced Turán number of the cube graph. We begin by proving the following strengthening of Theorem 1.4, which is needed to for our proof of Proposition 1.5.

Theorem 4.1. Let $k, s \geq 2$ be integers and let G be a graph on n vertices which does not contain $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph. Then there exists a constant $C = C_{k,s} > 0$ such that for any partition $V(G) = A \cup B$ with at least $e(A, B) \geq Cn^{1+\frac{1}{k}}$ crossing edges, there exists an induced copy of the cycle C_{2k} on vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2k} such that $v_1, v_3, \ldots, v_{2k-1} \in A$ and $v_2, v_4, \ldots, v_{2k} \in B$.

Since any graph G contains an partition with at least e(G)/2 crossing edges, Theorem 1.4 is directly implied by Theorem 4.1.

Before presenting the main idea of the proof, we introduce some terminology and notation which will be used throughout the section. For every graph G with partition $V(G) = A \cup B$, we define the subgraph G_1 containing the crossing edges. Furthermore, we say that a cycle of length 2k in G is alternating if all edges of this cycle cross the partition A, B. Similarly, a path is alternating if all of its edges cross the partition.

Now, we present the outline of our proof. The first step is to pass to subsets $A_0 \subseteq A, B_0 \subseteq B$ such that $G_1[A_0 \cup B_0]$ is an almost regular graph. To do this, we use the notion of α -maximality, introduced in [56]. Then, we use a result of Janzer [30] to show that most homomorphic cycles of length 2k are non-degenerate. Finally, we argue that if there are no induced cycles of length 2k in G, then one can choose vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ with many disjoint paths between them and many edges between internal vertices of these paths. This will allow us to find a dense subgraph of G, in which we find a copy of $K_{s,s}$, using the Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem.

As mentioned above, the first step is to show how to pass from a graph to an almost regular induced subgraph. Given a graph G, $\Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree, $\delta(G)$ the minimum degree, and d(G) the average degree of G. We say that G is K-almostregular if $\Delta(G) \leq K\delta(G)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a fixed real number and let $K = 2^{3/\alpha+5}$. Furthermore, let G be a graph on n vertices with at least $Cn^{1+\alpha}$ edges. Then, there exists a K-almost-regular induced subgraph $H \subseteq G$ on m vertices with at least $\frac{C}{4}m^{1+\alpha}$ edges.

Proof. The main idea of the proof is to pass to a so called α -maximal subgraph of G and clean it to remove the low-degree and the high-degree vertices. We say that a graph G is α -maximal if for any subgraph $H \subseteq G$ one has

$$\frac{e(G)}{v(G)^{1+\alpha}} \ge \frac{e(H)}{v(H)^{1+\alpha}}.$$

If G is not α -maximal, we may replace G by the subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ maximizing the ratio $\frac{e(G')}{v(G')^{1+\alpha}}$. Note that G' is induced, α -maximal, and satisfies $e(G') \ge Cv(G')^{1+\alpha}$. Hence, in what follows, we assume that G is α -maximal and set $C_0 := e(G)/v(G)^{1+\alpha} \ge C$.

Let U be the set of vertices $v \in G$ whose degree is at least $K_0 d(G)$, where $K_0 = 2^{3/\alpha+2}$. Then, U has size at most $|U| \leq \frac{n}{K_0}$. Using the assumption that G is α -maximal, we show that at most a half of the edges in G are incident to U. Suppose this is not the case and we have at least e(G)/2 edges incident to U. Our goal is to find a set $V \subseteq V(G) \setminus U$ for which $e(G[U \cup V]) > C_0 |U \cup V|^{1+\alpha}$, which contradicts the α -maximality of G. Let V be a random subset of $V(G) \setminus U$ of cardinality $\frac{n}{K_0}$. Then we have $|U \cup V| \leq |U| + |V| \leq \frac{2n}{K_0}$. Furthermore, every edge incident to U belongs to $G[U \cup V]$ with probability at least $\frac{1}{K_0}$ and therefore the expected number of edges induced on $U \cup V$ is at least $\mathbb{E}[e(G[U \cup V])] \geq e(G)/2K_0$. Therefore, there exists a set V of size $\frac{n}{K_0}$ for which $e(G[U \cup V]) \geq e(G)/2K_0$.

We claim that the subgraph $G[U \cup V]$ contradicts the α -maximality of G, which is verified by the following simple computation:

$$e(G[U \cup V]) - C_0|U \cup V|^{1+\alpha} \ge \frac{e(G)}{2K_0} - C_0 \left(\frac{2n}{K_0}\right)^{1+\alpha} \ge \frac{C_0 n^{1+\alpha}}{2K_0} - C_0 \left(\frac{2n}{K_0}\right)^{1+\alpha} \ge 0,$$

where the last inequality follows from the choice of K_0 .

Thus, we conclude that U is incident to at most e(G)/2 edges and so $V(G)\setminus U$ induces at least e(G)/2 edges. Let us now focus on the subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ induced on the set $V(G)\setminus U$. We may repeatedly remove from this graph all vertices of degree at most d(G')/4, while removing at most half of the edges of G'. In this way, we obtain an induced subgraph $G'' \subseteq G$ with the property that $e(G'') \geq e(G')/2 \geq e(G)/4$ and $\delta(G'') \geq d(G')/4 \geq d(G)/8$. Since all vertices of G' have degree at most $K_0d(G)$, we finally arrive at the conclusion $8K_0\delta(G'') \geq \Delta(G'')$, thus completing the proof. \Box

The next step of the proof is to show that almost all homomorphic 2k-cycles are nondegenerate. Here, a homomorphic 2k-cycle denotes a sequence of 2k vertices, v_1, \ldots, v_{2k} such that v_i is adjacent to v_{i+1} for all $i = 1, \ldots, 2k - 1$ and v_1 is adjacent to v_{2k} . Such a cycle is *non-degenerate* if all 2k vertices are distinct and otherwise it is *degenerate*. The number of homomorphic 2k-cycles in G is denoted by $hom(C_{2k}, G)$. For brevity, throughout this section, we will often refer to homomorphic 2k-cycles simply as 2kcycles.

Lemma 4.3. Let k be a positive integer, let $K = 2^{3k+5}$ and let G be a K-almost-regular graph with $d(G) = Cn^{1/k}$. Then at most $\frac{2^{2k+10}}{\sqrt{C}} \hom(C_{2k}, G)$ homomorphic 2k-cycles in G are degenerate.

The key ingredient in the proof of this lemma is the following result of Janzer [30], which controls the number of degenerate 2k-cycles in a graph.

Lemma 4.4 (Lemma 2.2 in [30]). Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer and let G = (V, E) be a graph on n vertices. Let \sim be a symmetric binary relation defined over V such that for every $u \in V$ and $v \in V$, v has at most t neighbours $w \in V$ which satisfy $u \sim w$. Then the number of homomorphic 2k-cycles $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2k})$ in G such that $x_i \sim x_j$ for some $i \ne j$ is at most

$$32k^{3/2}t^{1/2}\Delta(G)^{1/2}n^{\frac{1}{2k}}\hom(C_{2k},G)^{1-\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

Moreover, we also use the well known fact that even cycles satisfy Sidorenko's conjecture [51].

Lemma 4.5 ([51]). For every graph G,

$$\hom(C_{2k}, G) \ge d(G)^{2k}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We apply Lemma 4.4 and define the relation \sim such that $u \sim v$ if and only if u = v. Then Lemma 4.4 gives a bound on the number of degenerate copies of 2k-cycles. Since one can take t = 1, the number of degenerate homomorphic 2k-cycles in G is at most

$$32k^{3/2}\Delta(G)^{1/2}n^{\frac{1}{2k}} \hom(C_{2k},G)^{1-\frac{1}{2k}}$$

From Lemma 4.5, we have $\hom(C_{2k}, G)^{1/2k} \ge d(G)$. Furthermore, since G is a K-almost-regular graph, we have $\Delta(G) \le Kd(G)$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{32k^{3/2}\Delta(G)^{1/2}n^{\frac{1}{2k}}\hom(C_{2k},G)^{1-\frac{1}{2k}}}{\hom(C_{2k},G)} = \frac{32k^{3/2}\Delta(G)^{1/2}n^{\frac{1}{2k}}}{\hom(C_{2k},G)^{\frac{1}{2k}}} \le 32k^{3/2}K^{1/2}\frac{d(G)^{1/2}n^{\frac{1}{2k}}}{d(G)}$$
$$\le 32k^{3/2}2^{3k/2+2}\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2k}}}{d(G)^{1/2}} \le \frac{2^{2k+10}}{\sqrt{C}}. \quad \Box$$

Before we begin the proof of Theorem 4.1, we present an auxiliary lemma which shows that if one has a sparse and a dense graph on the same vertex set, one can find a large independent set of the sparse graph in which the density of the dense graph does not decrease too much. This lemma will be applied to an auxiliary graph which is constructed in the course of the main proof.

Lemma 4.6. For every integer t and every $c \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta = \delta(t, c) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $n > c\delta^{-1}$ the following statement holds. Let V be a set of n vertices and let G_R, G_B be a red and a blue graph on V. If G_R has at most δn^2 edges and G_B has at least cn^2 edges, then there exists a set $S \subseteq V$ of size at least t such that $G_B[S]$ has at least $\frac{c}{2}|S|^2$ edges and $G_R[S]$ is empty.

Proof. Let S be a random subset of V which includes each element with probability $p = \frac{c\delta^{-1}}{10n}$. Furthermore, denote by $e_R(S)$ and $e_B(S)$ the number of red and blue edges in S, respectively.

The first step of the proof is to show that we have the following inequality between expectations

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e_B(S)\right] > \frac{c}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[|S|^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[|S| \cdot e_R(S)\right] + t^2.$$
(1)

For a vertex $u \in V$, we define $\mathbf{1}_u$ to be the indicator function of the event $u \in S$. Let us begin by computing the expectation of $e_B(S)$:

$$\mathbb{E}[e_B(S)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{uv \in E(G_B)} \mathbf{1}_u \mathbf{1}_v\right] = \sum_{uv \in E(G_B)} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_u \mathbf{1}_v] = e(G_B)p^2.$$

Similarly, one can compute the expectation of $|S|^2$ and $|S| \cdot e_R(S)$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|S|^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{u \in V} \mathbf{1}_u\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{u,v \in V} \mathbf{1}_u \mathbf{1}_v\right]$$
$$= \sum_{u \neq v} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_u \mathbf{1}_v] + \sum_{u \in V} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_u] = (n^2 - n)p^2 + np,$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[|S| \cdot e_R(S)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{u,v \in E(G_R)} \mathbf{1}_u \mathbf{1}_v \sum_{w \in V} \mathbf{1}_w\right] = \sum_{\substack{uv \in E(G_R) \\ w \neq u,v}} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_u \mathbf{1}_v \mathbf{1}_w] + \sum_{\substack{uv \in E(G_R) \\ w \in \{u,v\}}} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_u \mathbf{1}_v]$$
$$= e(G_R)(n - 2)p^3 + 2e(G_R)p^2.$$

Having computed these, it is straightforward to verify inequality (1):

$$\frac{c}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[|S|^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[|S| \cdot e_{R}(S)\right] + t^{2} \leq \frac{c}{2}(np)^{2} + \frac{c}{2}np + \delta n^{3}p^{3} + 2\delta n^{2}p^{2} + t^{2}$$
$$= \frac{c^{3}\delta^{-2}}{200} + \frac{c^{2}\delta^{-1}}{20} + \frac{c^{3}\delta^{-2}}{1000} + \frac{2c^{2}\delta^{-1}}{100} + t^{2}$$
$$< \frac{c^{3}\delta^{-2}}{100} \leq e(G_{B})p^{2},$$

assuming δ is sufficiently small with respect to t and c. Therefore, one can choose S such that $e_B(S) > \frac{c}{2}|S|^2 + |S|e_R(S) + t^2$. Since $e_B(S) < \frac{|S|^2}{2}$ for all S, we must also have $e_R(S) < |S|/2$. As there are fewer red edges in S than vertices, one can remove one vertex from every red edge and obtain a non-empty set of vertices S' of cardinality $|S'| \geq |S| - e_R(S)$.

We claim that $|S'| \ge t$ and that S' contains at least $\frac{c}{2}|S|^2$ blue edges. Showing both of these inequalities is sufficient to complete the proof by choosing the set S'. The first inequality follows from $\frac{|S|^2}{2} > e_B(S) > |S|e_R(S) + t^2$, which implies

$$\frac{1}{2}|S'|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}(|S| - e_R(S))^2 = \frac{|S|^2}{2} - |S|e_R(S) + \frac{e_R(S)^2}{2} \ge t^2.$$

On the other hand, by removing at most $e_R(S)$ vertices of S, one can remove at most $e_R(S)|S|$ blue edges from S, meaning that at least $e_B(S) - |S|e_R(S) \ge \frac{c}{2}|S|^2$ blue edges remain in S'. This suffices to complete the proof. \Box

Having covered all the preliminaries, we are ready for the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let us begin by applying Lemma 4.2 with $\alpha = \frac{1}{k}$ to the graph G_1 of edges crossing the partition (A, B). Let $C_0 = \frac{C}{4}$ and $K = 2^{3k+5}$, then there is a K-almost-regular induced subgraph H_1 of G_1 satisfying $e(H_1) \ge C_0 m^{1+1/k}$, where m is the number of vertices of H_1 . Also, let H be the subgraph of G induced by $V(H_1)$.

For any two distinct vertices $u, v \in V(H)$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ the set of alternating paths of length k between vertices u and v in H, and let $P_{u,v} = |\mathcal{P}_{u,v}|$. Furthermore, let $A_{u,v}$ denote the number of ordered pairs of paths $(P_1, P_2) \in \mathcal{P}^2_{u,v}$ which intersect in a vertex different from u, v. Finally, let $B_{u,v}$ denote the number of ordered pairs of distinct paths $(P_1, P_2) \in \mathcal{P}^2_{u,v}$ such that some internal vertex of P_1 and some internal vertex of P_2 are connected by an edge of H.

Let us begin by presenting a set of simple observations about these quantities. Since every pair of paths with the same endpoints can be glued to form a homomorphic 2k-cycle, we have that $\sum_{u,v} P_{u,v}^2 \leq \hom(C_{2k}, H_1)$. Here and later, $\sum_{u,v}$ denotes the sum over all pairs $(u, v) \in V(H)^2$, $u \neq v$. Furthermore, every non-degenerate 2k-cycle (x_1, \ldots, x_{2k}) can be uniquely partitioned into two paths of length k with the same endpoints, namely the paths $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k+1})$ and $(x_1, x_{2k}, \ldots, x_{k+1})$. Hence, the sum $\sum_{u,v} P_{u,v}^2$ is at least the number of non-degenerate 2k-cycles. For sufficiently large C_0 , Lemma 4.3 guarantees that at least half of all homomorphic 2k-cycles in H_1 are nondegenerate, and so $\sum_{u,v} P_{u,v}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \hom(C_{2k}, H_1)$.

The sum $\sum_{u,v} A_{u,v}$ can be bounded by the number of degenerate 2k-cycles, since each pair of paths which share the endpoints and intersect in some internal vertex corresponds to a degenerate 2k-cycle. Thus, Lemma 4.3 implies $\sum_{u,v} A_{u,v} \leq \frac{2^{2k+10}}{\sqrt{C_0}} \cdot \hom(C_{2k}, H_1)$.

Finally, if H has no induced nondegenerate 2k-cycles, then $\sum_{u,v} B_{u,v} \ge \frac{1}{4k} \hom(C_{2k}, H_1)$. To see why, note that every nondegenerate cycle (x_1, \ldots, x_{2k}) must have a chord, since it is not induced. Then, there exists a way to shift the indices of the vertices, say by setting $y_i = x_{i+t \mod 2k}$ for some t, such that the chord goes between the vertex sets $\{y_2, \ldots, y_k\}$ and $\{y_{k+2}, \ldots, y_{2k}\}$. In this case, the cycle (y_1, \ldots, y_{2k}) corresponds to a pair of paths between y_1 and y_{k+1} with an edge between their internal vertices, which is counted in $B_{y_1,y_{k+1}}$. This argument shows that for any non-degenerate 2k-cycle, one of its 2k cyclic relabeling corresponds to a pair of paths counted in the sum $\sum_{u,v} B_{u,v}$. Hence, $2k \sum_{u,v} B_{u,v}$ is at least the number of nondegenerate 2k-cycles, and so $\sum_{u,v} B_{u,v} \ge \frac{1}{4k} \cdot \hom(C_{2k}, H_1)$.

Combining these observations with the fact that $\hom(C_{2k}, H_1) \ge d(H_1)^{2k} \ge m^2 C_0^{2k} \ge \sum_{u,v} C_0^{2k}$, which comes from Lemma 4.5, we obtain the following inequality:

$$8k \sum_{u,v} B_{u,v} - \frac{\sqrt{C_0}}{2^{2k+10}} \sum_{u,v} A_{u,v} \ge \hom(C_{2k}, H_1) \ge \frac{1}{2} d(H_1)^{2k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v} P_{u,v}^2$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v} (P_{u,v}^2 + C_0^{2k}).$$

Hence, there exist distinct vertices u, v for which

192 Z. Hunter et al. / Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 172 (2025) 168–197

$$8kB_{u,v} \ge \frac{\sqrt{C_0}}{2^{2k+10}}A_{u,v} + \frac{1}{2}P_{u,v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}C_0^{2k}.$$

Let us fix these vertices and define $\delta = 2^{2k+10} \cdot 8k/\sqrt{C_0}$. Since $B_{u,v}$ counts certain pairs of paths in $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$, we always have $B_{u,v} \leq P_{u,v}^2$. Thus, we deduce that $8k\delta^{-1}A_{u,v} \leq 8kB_{u,v} \leq 8kP_{u,v}^2$ and so $A_{u,v} \leq \delta P_{u,v}^2$. Also, we directly have both $B_{u,v} \geq \frac{1}{16k}P_{u,v}^2$ and $8kP_{u,v}^2 \geq 8kB_{u,v} \geq \frac{1}{2}C_0^{2k}$, implying $P_{u,v} \geq C_0^k/4\sqrt{k}$.

We now define two auxiliary graphs, a red and a blue one, on the set of vertices $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$. A pair of distinct paths $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ is a red edge if the paths P_1, P_2 intersect in some of their internal vertices. Also, P_1P_2 is a blue edge if there exists an edge of H between the internal vertices of P_1 and P_2 . Let us denote the red graph by G_R and the blue graph by G_B . Note that an ordered pair of paths (P_1, P_2) is counted in $A_{u,v}$ precisely if P_1P_2 is a red edge or if $P_1 = P_2$. Thus, we have that the number of red edges is precisely $e(G_R) = (A_{u,v} - P_{u,v})/2 \leq \delta P_{u,v}^2$. Similarly, we have $e(G_B) = B_{u,v}/2 \geq \frac{1}{32k}P_{u,v}^2$, since $B_{u,v}$ only counts pairs of distinct paths.

Apply Lemma 4.6 to the graphs G_R, G_B with the parameters $n = P_{u,v}, c = \frac{1}{32k}$, and $t = (64k)^{3s}$. The conditions of the Lemma 4.6 hold when C_0 is sufficiently large, since $e(G_R) \leq \delta P_{u,v}^2$ and $P_{u,v} > c\delta^{-1}$ as $P_{u,v} \geq C_0^k/4\sqrt{k}$. We conclude that there exist $r \geq t$ paths $P_1, \ldots, P_r \in \mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ with disjoint interiors and with at least $\frac{1}{64k}r^2$ pairs P_i, P_j having an edge of H between their internal vertices.

Let us now consider an induced subgraph $F \subseteq H$ on the union of vertices of all these paths. The number of vertices of F is h = 2 + r(k - 1) and the number of edges in Fis at least $\frac{1}{64k}r^2$. However, F is a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph and the Kővári–Sós–Turán theorem implies that $e(F) \leq (s/h)^{1/s}h^2 + hs/2$. Since $r \geq t = (64k)^{3s}$ and $h \leq rk$, we have $\frac{1}{64k}r^2 > (s/h)^{1/s}h^2 + hs/2$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \Box

4.1. The cube graph

We finish the section by showing Proposition 1.5, which states that $ex^*(n, Q_8, s) \leq O_s(n^{8/5})$, where Q_8 denotes the graph of a three-dimensional cube. Before we start the proof, we show that almost all paths of a given length in a $K_{s,s}$ -free graph are induced. Although we need this statement only for paths of length three, we prove it in full generality since we believe it might be of independent interest.

Lemma 4.7. For any integers $k, s \geq 2$ and any $K, \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(k, s, K, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that the following statement is true. Let G be a graph on n vertices which does not contain $K_{s,s}$ and let $V(G) = A \cup B$ be a partition of the graph G with at least $e(A, B) \geq Cn^{1+\frac{1}{k}}$ crossing edges. Furthermore, assume that the graph of crossing edges is K-almost regular. Then, at least a $(1 - \varepsilon)$ -fraction of alternating paths of length k in G are induced.

Proof. We denote the graph of edges crossing the partition by G_1 . We show that if at least an ε -fraction of alternating paths of length k in G are not induced, then G has

 $\Omega(nd(G_1)^{\ell-1})$ cycles of length ℓ , for some $\ell \leq k$, with all but at most one edge crossing the partition. This suffices to show that G contains $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph.

Let us begin by showing that the total number of alternating k-paths in G is at least $\frac{1}{2}n(\delta(G_1)/2)^k$. Namely, to specify an alternating path of length k, one has n choices for the first vertex and at least $\delta(G_1) - k \geq \delta(G_1)/2$ choices for each of the subsequent vertices. However, since we may count every path twice, depending on the direction it is traversed, we divide by 2.

If an ε -fraction of these paths are not induced, we have $\varepsilon n(\delta(G_1)/2)^k/2 \ge \varepsilon \frac{nd^k}{K^k 2^{k+1}} = \Omega_{k,K,\varepsilon}(nd^k)$ non-induced alternating paths of length k, where $d = d(G_1)$ is the average degree of G_1 . In other words, there are at least $\Omega_{k,K,\varepsilon}(nd^k)$ k-paths with a chord between some two vertices. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist indices $i, j \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$ such that $i \le j-2$ and at least $\frac{1}{k^2}\Omega_{k,K,\varepsilon}(nd^k)$ of these paths have a chord between the vertices v_i and v_j . Let us denote this collection of paths by \mathcal{P}_{ij} .

Every path in \mathcal{P}_{ij} can be completed to a cycle of length $\ell = j - i + 1$. Let us denote by \mathcal{C}_{ℓ} the collection of ℓ -cycles in G with all but at most one edge crossing the partition. We argue that each cycle $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ can be obtained from at most $\ell\Delta(G_1)^{k-(j-i)}$ paths $P \in \mathcal{P}_{ij}$. Given a cycle C, there are ℓ ways to label its vertices using the labels v_i, \ldots, v_j . Furthermore, there are at most Δ^i ways to choose vertices $v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, \ldots, v_0$ with the restrictions $v_i v_{i-1} \in E(G_1), v_{i-1} v_{i-2} \in E(G_1)$ etc. Similarly, there are at most Δ^{k-j} ways to choose the vertices v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_k and therefore at most $\ell\Delta^{k-(j-i)}$ paths $P \in \mathcal{P}_{ij}$ contain the cycle C. We conclude that $|\mathcal{C}_{\ell}| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{ij}|}{\ell\Delta^{k-\ell+1}} = \Omega_{k,K,\varepsilon}(nd^{\ell-1})$.

The last step of the proof is to show that $|\mathcal{C}_{\ell}| \geq \Omega_{k,K,\varepsilon}(nd^{\ell-1})$ implies that G contains $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-3}$ denote the collection of alternating paths of length $\ell-3$. Since at most one edge of any cycle $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\ell}$ does not cross the partition, C contains an alternating path of length $\ell-1$. Let us take this alternating path and eliminate its first and last edge to obtain an alternating path of length $\ell-3$, which we assign to the cycle C. Since there are at most $n\Delta^{\ell-3}$ alternating paths of length $\ell-3$, the pigeonhole principle implies that there exists a path $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell-3}$ which is assigned to at least $\frac{|\mathcal{C}_{\ell}|}{n\Delta^{\ell-3}} = \Omega_{k,K,\varepsilon}(d^2)$ cycles of \mathcal{C}_{ℓ} .

Let us denote the endpoints of this path by u and v. The number of ways to complete the path P to a cycle in \mathcal{C}_{ℓ} is upper bounded by the number of edges between $N_{G_1}(u)$ and $N_{G_1}(v)$. Both of these sets have size at most $\Delta \leq Kd$ and the number of edges in $N_{G_1}(u) \cup N_{G_1}(v)$ is at least $\Omega_{k,K,\varepsilon}(d^2)$. On the other hand, if G is $K_{s,s}$ -free, the Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem implies that $N_{G_1}(u) \cup N_{G_1}(v)$ induces at most $O_s((2Kd)^{2-1/s})$ edges, which is not possible if d is large enough. Hence, we conclude that G contains $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph. \Box

We are now ready to prove the upper bound on the induced Turán number of the cube.

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Our goal is to find a pair of non-adjacent vertices u, v with a large number of induced paths of length three between them. Then, we find an alternating



Fig. 1. Illustration of the proof of Proposition 1.5.

induced cycle of length 6 between the neighbourhoods of u and v, which suffices to find the graph of the cube as depicted in Fig. 1.

Let G be an n vertex graph with average degree at least $Cn^{3/5}$ containing no $K_{s,s}$. Partition the vertex set of G into parts A, B such that at least half of the edges cross the partition, and let G_1 be the graph of crossing edges. By Lemma 4.2 applied with $\alpha = \frac{3}{5}$, G_1 has a K-almost-regular induced subgraph H_1 with m vertices and average degree d satisfying $d \ge C_0 m^{3/5}$, where $C_0 = \frac{C}{4}$ and $K = 2^{10}$. Let H be the subgraph of G induced on $V(H_1)$.

By Lemma 4.7, the graph H contains $\Omega(md^3)$ alternating induced paths of length 3. By the pigeonhole principle, there exists a pair of vertices u, v, which have at least $\Omega(md^3/m^2) = \Omega(d^3/m)$ induced alternating paths of length 3 between them. In particular, the vertices u and v are non-adjacent.

Let us denote the collection of these paths by \mathcal{P} , the set of all neighbours of u on these paths by U and the set of neighbours of v on these paths by V. Since all paths are induced, $U \cap N(v) = \emptyset$ and $V \cap N(u) = \emptyset$. Moreover, the number of induced alternating paths of length 3 between u and v is equal to the number of edges between U and V, meaning that $e(U, V) = \Omega(d^3/m)$. On the other hand, the cardinality of U and V is at most $\Delta(H_1) \leq Kd$.

We claim that if C_0 is sufficiently large, one has $e(U, V) \ge C_0(|U| + |V|)^{4/3}$, which allows us to apply Theorem 4.1 with k = 3. To verify that there are sufficiently many edges between U and V, we recall that $d \ge C_0 m^{3/5}$, which implies

$$e(U,V) = \Omega(d^3/m) \ge \Omega\left(d^{4/3}C_0^{5/3}\right) \ge C_0 \cdot (2Kd)^{4/3} \ge C_0(|U| + |V|)^{4/3}$$

Thus, one can find an alternating induced cycle of length 6 between U and V. But the vertices u and v, together with this cycle, form an induced copy of Q_8 , which completes the proof. \Box

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we proposed a framework which unifies the study of Turán-type problems with the study of induced subgraphs. We proved upper bounds on $ex^*(n, H, s)$ with the same asymptotic behaviour as the best known upper bounds on the usual Turán number ex(n, H) for several natural classes of bipartite graphs, e.g. when H is a tree, cycle or has degrees on one side bounded by k. Let us repeat our conjecture that a similar result should hold for every bipartite graph H.

Conjecture 1.1. For every connected bipartite graph H,

$$ex^*(n, H, s) \le C_H(s) \cdot ex(n, H)$$

for some $C_H(s)$ depending only on H and s.

An obvious difficulty in the resolution of this conjecture is that we do not even know the extremal numbers of most bipartite graphs. However, it is plausible that this can be circumvented by some clever argument. It would be also interesting to prove or disprove this conjecture in case H is replaced with a family of bipartite graphs \mathcal{H} . As we discussed in the introduction, sharp bounds are known in case \mathcal{H} is the family of subdivisions of a given graph H.

A curious problem left open is about the family of graphs of VC-dimension at most d, which is of great interest due to its connection to geometry. This family can be defined as the family of graphs containing no induced member of the following finite collection of graphs \mathcal{H} . The collection \mathcal{H} contains all graphs H with a partition $A \cup B$ such that |A| = d + 1, $|B| = 2^{d+1}$, and for every $X \subset A$ there is a unique $b \in B$ such that b is connected to all vertices in X, but no vertices in $A \setminus X$. For $d \geq 3$, the best known upper bound is $ex^*(n, \mathcal{H}, s) = o(n^{2-1/d})$ due to Janzer and Pohoata [31]. However, we believe that $ex^*(n, \mathcal{H}, s) = O(n^{2-1/d-\delta})$ should also hold for some $\delta = \delta(d) > 0$. It seems the main obstacle in proving this is that the best known bound for the ordinary Turán number is also $ex(n, \mathcal{H}) = o(n^{2-1/d})$, following from a result of Sudakov and Tomon [52] as well.

Acknowledgments

We thank anonymous referees for numerous thoughtful comments and the observation that the original statement of the Conjecture 1.1 had a trivial counterexample, if H is allowed to be disconnected. We note that a similar observation was made by Sheffield [50] too.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

N. Alon, M. Krivelevich, B. Sudakov, Turán numbers of bipartite graphs and related Ramsey-type questions, Comb. Probab. Comput. 12 (2003) 477–494.

- [2] R. Alweiss, S. Lovett, K. Wu, J. Zhang, Improved bounds for the sunflower lemma, Ann. Math. 194 (2021) 795–815.
- [3] R. Apfelbaum, M. Sharir, Large complete bipartite subgraphs in incidence graphs of points and hyperplanes, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 21 (3) (2007) 707–725.
- [4] N. Alon, L. Rónyai, T. Szabó, Norm-graphs: variations and applications, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 76 (2) (1999) 280–290.
- [5] M. Axenovich, J. Zimmermann, Induced Turán problem in bipartite graphs, preprint, arXiv:2401. 11296, 2024.
- [6] A. Basit, A. Chernikov, S. Starchenko, T. Tao, C.-M. Tran, Zarankiewicz's problem for semilinear hypergraphs, Forum Math. Sigma 9 (2021) e59 23.
- [7] M. Bonamy, N. Bousquet, M. Pilipczuk, P. Rzazewski, S. Thomassé, B. Walczak, Degeneracy of Pt-free and C≥t-free graphs with no large complete bipartite subgraphs, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 152 (2022) 353–378.
- [8] J.A. Bondy, M. Simonovits, Cycles of even length in graphs, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 16 (1974) 97–105.
- [9] R. Bourneuf, M. Bucić, L. Cook, J. Davies, On polynomial degree-boundedness, Adv. Comb. (2024).
- [10] B. Bukh, Extremal graphs without exponentially-small bicliques, Duke Math. J. 173 (11) (2024) 2039–2062.
- [11] T.M. Chan, S. Har-Peled, On the number of incidences when avoiding an induced biclique in geometric settings, in: Proceedings of SODA 2023, SIAM, 2023, pp. 1398–1413.
- [12] B. Chazelle, Cutting hyperplanes for divide-and-conquer, Discrete Comput. Geom. 9 (1993) 145–158.
- [13] X. Du, A. Girão, Z. Hunter, R. McCarty, A. Scott, Induced C₄-free subgraphs with large average degree, preprint, arXiv:2307.08361, 2023.
- [14] P. Erdős, Extremal problems in graph theory, in: Theory of Graphs and Its Applications, Proc. Sympos. Smolenice, 1963, 1964, pp. 29–36.
- [15] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, Ramsey-type theorems, Discrete Appl. Math. 25 (1989) 37–52.
- [16] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, A limit theorem in graph theory, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 1 (1966) 51–57.
- [17] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, Some extremal problems in graph theory, combinatorial theory and its applications, vol. I, in: Proceedings Colloqium, Balatonfüred, 1969, pp. 377–390.
- [18] P. Erdős, A.H. Stone, On the structure of linear graphs, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 52 (1946) 1087–1091.
- [19] P. Erdős, G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, Compos. Math. 2 (1935) 463–470.
- [20] B. Ergemlidze, E. Győri, A. Methuku, Turán number of an induced complete bipartite graph plus an odd cycle, Comb. Probab. Comput. 28 (2019) 241–252.
- [21] J. Fox, J. Pach, Separator theorems and Turán-type results for planar intersection graph, Adv. Math. 219 (3) (2008) 1070–1080.
- [22] J. Fox, J. Pach, A. Sheffer, A. Suk, J. Zahl, A semi-algebraic version of Zarankiewicz's problem, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 19 (2017) 1785–1810.
- [23] J. Fox, B. Sudakov, Density theorems for bipartite graphs and related Ramsey-type results, Combinatorica 29 (2009) 153–196.
- [24] J. Fox, B. Sudakov, Dependent random choice, Random Struct. Algorithms 38 (2011) 1–32.
- [25] Z. Füredi, On a Turán type problem of Erdős, Combinatorica 11 (1991) 75–79.
- [26] A. Girão, Z. Hunter, Induced subdivisions in $K_{s,s}$ -free graphs with polynomial average degree, preprint, arXiv:2310.18452, 2023.
- [27] A. Gyárfás, Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs, Appl. Math. 19 (3-4) (1987) 413-441.
- [28] F. Illingworth, A note on induced Turán numbers, preprint, arXiv:2105.12503, 2021.
- [29] F. Illingworth, Graphs with no induced $K_{2,t}$, Electron. J. Comb. 28 (1) (2021) P1.19.
- [30] O. Janzer, Rainbow Turán number of even cycles, repeated patterns and blow-ups of cycles, Isr. J. Math. 253 (2023) 813–840.
- [31] O. Janzer, C. Pohoata, On the Zarankiewicz problem for graphs with bounded VC-dimension, Combinatorica 44 (2024) 839–848.
- [32] C. Keller, S. Smorodinsky, Zarankiewicz's problem via ε-t-nets, preprint, arXiv:2311.13662, 2023.
- [33] B. Keszegh, Coloring intersection hypergraphs of pseudo-disks, in: Bettina Speckmann, Csaba D. Tóth (Eds.), Proceedings of SoCG 2018, in: LIPIcs, vol. 99, Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2018, 52.
- [34] H.A. Kierstead, S.G. Penrice, Radius two trees specify χ -bounded classes, J. Graph Theory 18 (1994) 119–129.
- [35] H.A. Kierstead, Y. Zhu, Radius three trees in graphs with large chromatic number, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 17 (2004) 571–581.

- [36] T. Kővári, V. Sós, P. Turán, On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz, Colloq. Math. 3 (1954) 50–57.
- [37] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, Every graph with sufficiently large average degree contains a C_4 -free subgraph of large average degree, Combinatorica 24 (2004) 155–162.
- [38] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, Induced subdivisions in $K_{s,s}$ -free graphs of large average degree, Combinatorica 24 (2004) 287–304.
- [39] F. Lazebnik, V.A. Ustimenko, A.J. Woldar, Properties of certain families of 2k-cycle-free graphs, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 60 (2) (1994) 293–298.
- [40] J.R. Lee, Separators in region intersection graphs, in: 8th Innovations in Theoretical Comp. Sci. Conf. (ITCS 2017), in: LIPIcs, vol. 67, 2017, pp. 1–8.
- [41] P.-S. Loh, M. Tait, C. Timmons, R.M. Zhou, Induced Turán numbers, Comb. Probab. Comput. 27 (2018) 274–288.
- [42] W. Mantel, Problem 28 (solution by H. Gouwentak, W. Mantel, J. Teixeira de Mattes, F. Schuh and W. A. Wythoff), Wiskundige Opgaven 10 (1907) 60–61.
- [43] R. McCarty, Dense induced subgraphs of dense bipartite graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 35 (2) (2021) 661–667.
- [44] A. Milojević, B. Sudakov, I. Tomon, Point-hyperplane incidences via extremal graph theory, preprint, arXiv:2401.06670, 2024.
- [45] R. Montgomery, A. Pokrovskiy, B. Sudakov, C₄-free subgraphs with large average degree, Isr. J. Math. 246 (2021) 55–71.
- [46] T. Nguyen, A. Scott, P. Seymour, Induced subgraph density. VI. Bounded VC-dimension, preprint, arXiv:2312.15572, 2023.
- [47] A. Pawlik, J. Kozik, T. Krawczyk, M. Lasoń, P. Micek, W.T. Trotter, B. Walczak, Triangle-free intersection graphs of line segments with large chromatic number, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 105 (2014) 6–10.
- [48] A. Scott, Induced trees in graphs of large chromatic number, J. Graph Theory 24 (1997) 297-311.
- [49] A. Scott, P. Seymour, S. Spirkl, Polynomial bounds for chromatic number. I. Excluding a biclique and an induced tree, J. Graph Theory 102 (3) (2023) 458–471.
- [50] N. Sheffield, New bounds for induced Turán problems, preprint.
- [51] A. Sidorenko, Inequalities for functionals generated by bipartite graphs, Diskretn. Mat. 2 (3) (1991) 50–65.
- [52] B. Sudakov, I. Tomon, The Turán number of bipartite graphs with no $K_{t,t}$, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 148 (7) (2020) 2811–2818.
- [53] B. Sudakov, I. Tomon, Evasive sets, covering by subspaces, and point-hyperplane incidences, Discrete Comput. Geom. 72 (2024) 1333–1347.
- [54] D.P. Sumner, Subtrees of a graph and chromatic number, in: The Theory and Applications of Graphs: Fourth International Conference, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, Michigan, May 6–9, 1980, Wiley, New York, 1981, pp. 557–576.
- [55] E. Szemerédi, W.T. Trotter, Extremal problems in discrete geometry, Combinatorica 3 (3–4) (1983) 381–392.
- [56] I. Tomon, Robust (rainbow) subdivisions and simplicial cycles, Adv. Comb. 1 (2024), 37 pp.
- [57] I. Tomon, D. Zakharov, Turán-type results for intersection graphs of boxes, Comb. Probab. Comput. 30 (6) (2021) 982–987.
- [58] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, Mat. Fiz. Lapok 48 (1941) 436–452 (in Hungarian).